SNWA and LVVWD responded by filing a motion to dismiss on June 3, 2021. ECF

2.

2	No. 11. Plaintiffs' response to the motion to dismiss is presently due June 17, 2021. <i>Id</i> .	
3	3. Due to competing caseload requirements, and as a matter of professional courtesy.	
4	SNWA and LVVWD have agreed to provide a two-week extension of time for plaintiffs to file their	
5	5 response.	
6	4. Accordingly, the parties stipulate and request that the Court extend plaintiffs' deadline	
7	to oppose the motion to dismiss to July 1, 2021 .	
8	8 5. This is the first request for an extension	n of time. It is made in good faith and not for
9	9 purposes of delay.	
0	0 Dated this 15^{th} day of June 2021	Dated this 15 th day of June 2021
		LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Action of the content of the conte	GREGORY J. WALCH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4780 BRENT D. GUNSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8479 AMES E. SMYTH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6506 001 S. Valley View Boulevard, MS #475 Las Vegas, Nevada 89153 Attorneys for defendants Las Vegas Valley Water District and Southern Nevada Water Authority
1	ORDER	
2	Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY	
3	ORDERED that plaintiffs shall have up to, and including, July 1, 2021 to file a response to LVVWD's	
4		
5		
6		Xellus C. Mahan
7	7 <u>Unit</u>	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE